UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/650,250	08/27/2003	Aravind Sitaraman	CISCO-7642 (032590-0212)	7193	
86421 Patent Capital C	7590 05/26/201 Group - Cisco	EXAMINER			
6119 McComm	as	CHOU, ALAN S			
Dallas, TX 752	14		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2451		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/26/2010	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

thomasframe@patcapgroup.com patbradford@patcapgroup.com peggsu@cisco.com

Office Action Summary		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/65	0,250	SITARAMAN ET	SITARAMAN ET AL.		
		Exam	iner	Art Unit			
		ALAN	S. CHOU	2451			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears or	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	nddress		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Isions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r nication. utory period will apply a ill, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNION TO EVENT, HOWEVER, MAY A 1 INC. THE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2th Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	o)∏ This action or allowance exc	is non-final. cept for formal matt	• •	ne merits is		
Disnositi	on of Claims	o undor Ex parte	, «daylo, 1000 0.5	2. 11, 100 0.0. 210.			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-122 is/ar Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restricti  on Papers The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	e withdrawn fror on and/or election	on requirement.	by the Evaminer			
_	Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	ion to the drawing he correction is re	(s) be held in abeyar quired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 (	, ,		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	O-948)	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 			

Application/Control Number: 10/650,250 Page 2

Art Unit: 2451

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to amendments filed on February 1, 2010.

Claims 1-13 are presented for examination.

Claims 14-122 are restricted.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable <u>Burns et al.</u> U.S. Patent Number 6,665,295 B1 (hereinafter <u>Burns</u>), further in view of <u>Chase et al.</u> U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2009/0041022 A1 (hereinafter <u>Chase</u>), and further in view of <u>Chen et al.</u> U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2008/0175250 A1 (hereinafter <u>Chen</u>).
- 3. As per claim 1, <u>Burns</u> discloses a network device for a destination end of a double-ended soft permanent virtual circuit (SPVC) connection (see SPVC on column 2 line 19-25), the SPVC connection coupling from a source end to the destination end via a communication network, said network device being configured to receive packets propagating in the communications network, the network device comprising: a database memory adapted to store an access

identifier of at least one predetermined source network device from which the network device is allowed to accept an SPVC connection (see database 54 on column 8 line 27-35 storing unique identifier); a connection manager adapted to allocate a permanent virtual circuit (PVC) connection and a switched victual circuit (SVC) connection on said network device (see PVC or SVC data structure on column 6 line 53-65); and an SPVC manager coupled with said database memory and said connection manager, said SPVC manager being adapted to control said connection manager and receive an SPVC connection message comprising an access identifier of a source network device (see ingress node or source point code on column 6 line 43-52), said SPVC manager being further adapted to determine if the access identifier of the source network device matches the access identifier in said database memory (see call ID matching on column 7 line 48-60).

4. <u>Burns</u> does not disclose expressly the access identifier comprise an alias for closed user group (CUG). <u>Chase</u> teaches the communication of closed user groups within a frame relay network (see page 2 section [0016]). <u>Burns</u> and <u>Chase</u> are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, asynchronous transfer mode virtual private network systems. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to also allow closed user group create and use access identifiers. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow closed user group to communicate with other part of the network by requesting a connection with the SPVC network system.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Burns with Chase for the

benefit of allow closed user group to request and create access identifier to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

- 5. Burns does not disclose expressly the SPVC connection message further comprises a service category parameter, a virtual path identifier (VPI) parameter, and peak cell rate (PCR)/sustained cell rate (SCR) parameter. Chen teaches the use of connection message with service category parameter (see page 8 section [0093]), a virtual path identifier (VPI) parameter (see VPI/VCI on page 8 section [0093] and page 1 section [0007]), and peak cell rate (PCR)/sustained cell rate (SCR) parameter (see traffic descriptor for SCR/PCR on page 3 section [0033] and page 8 section [0093]). Burns and Chen are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, asynchronous transfer mode virtual private network systems. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use connection message parameters to set up SPVC messages. The motivation for doing so would have been to use well established parameters to configure the SPVC network system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Burns and Chase and Chen for the benefit of using a service category parameter, a virtual path identifier (VPI) parameter, and peak cell rate (PCR)/sustained cell rate (SCR) parameter in the connection message to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.
- 6. As per claim 2, <u>Burns</u> and <u>Chase</u> and <u>Chen</u> disclose the network device in accordance with claim 1, wherein said SPVC manager is further adapted to: accept an SPVC connection if the accepted identifier of the source network

device matches the access identifier in said database memory (see call ID matching found on column 7 line 48-58 in <u>Burns</u>); cross-connect an incoming SVC connection leg with a PVC connection leg; and associate the PVC connection leg with the access identifier (see associate cross-connection of each node with identifier on column 3 line 25-33 in <u>Burns</u>).

- 7. As per claim 3, <u>Burns</u> and <u>Chase</u> and <u>Chen</u> disclose the network device in accordance with claim 3, wherein said SPVC manager is further adapted to determine if the access identifier of the source network device matches the access identifier in said database memory after finding a matching PVC connection leg in accordance with the SPVC connection message (see call ID matching is found on column 7 line 48-60 in <u>Burns</u>).
- 8. As per claim 4, <u>Burns</u> and <u>Chase</u> and <u>Chen</u> disclose the network device in accordance with claim 3, wherein said SPVC manager is further adapted to reject the SPVC connection if the access identifier of the source network device does not match the access identifier in said database memory (see set-up message failed on column 8 line 36-44 in <u>Burns</u>).
- 9. As per claim 5, <u>Burns</u> and <u>Chase</u> and <u>Chen</u> disclose the network device in accordance with claim 1, wherein the access identifier comprises: a network service access point (NSAP) address of the source of the network device (see ingress node or source point code on column 6 line 43-51 in <u>Burns</u>).

Application/Control Number: 10/650,250

Art Unit: 2451

10. As per claim 7, <u>Burns</u> and <u>Chase</u> and <u>Chen</u> disclose the network device in accordance with claim 1, wherein the communications network comprises: an Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM) network (see ATM on column 2 line 55-60 in Burns).

Page 6

- 11. As per claim 8, <u>Burns</u> does not disclose expressly the communication network comprises a Frame Relay (FR) network. <u>Chase</u> teaches the asynchronous transfer mode having a frame relay interface network (see page 1 section [0006]). <u>Burns</u> and <u>Chase</u> are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, asynchronous transfer mode virtual private network systems. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use frame repay interface in the communication network. The motivation for doing so would have been to use a well known network interface protocol. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine <u>Burns</u> with <u>Chase</u> for the benefit of using frame relay network communication to obtain the invention as specified in claim 8.
- 12. As per claim 9, <u>Burns</u> and <u>Chase</u> and <u>Chen</u> disclose the network device in accordance with claim 1, wherein the source end comprises: and edge router (see routing element 1004 on Figure 12 in <u>Chase</u>).

Page 7

Art Unit: 2451

13. As per claim 11, <u>Burns</u> and <u>Chase</u> and <u>Chen</u> disclose the network device in accordance with claim 1, wherein the destination end comprises: a destination network switch (see end-user Router Ra 919-1 on Figure 1 in <u>Chase</u>).

- 14. As per claim 12, <u>Burns</u> and <u>Chase</u> and <u>Chen</u> disclose the network device in accordance with claim 1, wherein the destination end comprises: a destination edge router (see routing element 1004 on Figure 12 in <u>Chase</u>).
- 15. Claims 10, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Burns et al. U.S. Patent Number 6,665,295 B1 (hereinafter Burns), further in view of Chase et al. U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2009/0041022 A1 (hereinafter Chase), further in view of Chen et al. U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2008/0175250 A1 (hereinafter Chen), and further in view of Chiu et al. U.S. Patent Number 6,597,689 B1 (hereinafter Chiu).
- 16. As per claims 10 and 13, <u>Burns</u> does not disclose expressly the source or destination end comprises a DSL access concentrator. <u>Chiu</u> teaches use of DSL access concentrator as a end source point (see column 6 line 33-40). <u>Burns</u> and <u>Chiu</u> are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, asynchronous transfer mode virtual private network systems. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a DSL access concentrator in the communication network. The motivation for doing so would have been to use a well known network telecommunication

Application/Control Number: 10/650,250 Page 8

Art Unit: 2451

device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine <u>Burns</u> with <u>Chiu</u> for the benefit of using a DSL access concentrator to obtain the invention as specified in claims 10 and 13.

- 17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a) System and Method for Managing Information for Elements in a Communication Network by Radi et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2003/0131028 A1.
- b) Identifying Soft Permanent Virtual Circuits by <u>Tooker et al.</u>, U.S. Patent Number 6,775,288 B1.

### Response to Arguments

18. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks on page 34, filed February 1, 2010, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-13 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of <u>Chen et al.</u> U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2008/0175250 A1.

#### Conclusion

19. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**.

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alan S. Chou whose telephone number is (571) 272-5779. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am-3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571)272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,250 Page 10

Art Unit: 2451

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AC

/John Follansbee/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2451